A New Accurate Method for determining the Quantum Yields of Triplet State Production of Aromatic Molecules in Solution

By A. R. HORROCKS, T. MEDINGER, and F. WILKINSON

(School of Chemical Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich)

In a recent paper¹ we showed how quantitative measurements of the relative amounts of fluorescence and of the relative initial triplet-state absorption following flash excitation in the presence and absence of bromobenzene, a fluorescence quencher containing a heavy atom, can be used to determine $\phi_{\mathbf{T}}$, the quantum yield of tripletstate production of aromatic molecules in solution. In order to test the applicability of this method, five different molecules containing heavy atoms have been used to quench the fluorescence of 9-phenylanthracene. Liquid paraffin (viscosity 200 centipoise) was used as solvent because the long triplet-state decay makes extrapolation to give the initial triplet-state absorbance much easier. However the method is equally applicable to less viscous solvents such as ethanol.

From the mechanism shown below,



Plot showing relationship between relative fluorescence intensities and relative initial amounts of triplet-state production for 9-phenylanthracene in liquid paraffin with various amounts of quencher, \odot , bromobenzene, \bigcirc , bromocyclohexane, \bigcirc , p-dibromobenzene, \bigcirc , ethyl iodide, and \bigtriangledown , n-propyl iodide.

where ${}^{1}A$, ${}^{1}A^{*}$, and ${}^{3}A^{*}$ represent the ground state, the first excited singlet state and the lowest triplet state of 9-phenylanthracene respectively, and Qthe quencher containing a heavy atom, it follows that

$$\frac{F^0}{F} = 1 + k_6 \tau[Q] \qquad \dots \qquad (1)$$

where F and F^0 are the fluorescence intensities in the presence and absence of the quencher and $\tau = 1/(k_2 + k_3 + k_4)$. If [³A] and [³A⁰] are the initial concentrations and $D_{\rm T}$ and $D_{\rm T}^0$ the initial optical densities of the triplet state following flash excitation in the presence and absence of quencher then:

$$\frac{[^{3}A]}{[^{3}A^{0}]} = \frac{D_{\mathbf{T}}}{D_{\mathbf{T}}^{0}} = \frac{1 + \frac{k_{6}}{k_{4}}\tau[\Omega]}{1 + k_{6}\tau[\Omega]} \qquad .. \qquad (2)$$

Combining (1) and (2) we get

$$\frac{F^0}{F} = \left(\frac{D_{\mathbf{T}}}{D_{\mathbf{T}}^0} \frac{F^0}{F} - 1\right) \phi_{\mathbf{T}} + 1 \quad \dots \quad (3)$$

since $\phi_{\mathbf{T}} = k_4 \tau$.

Experimental details are given in Reference 1. All solutions were thoroughly outgassed. Figure 1 shows a plot based on Equation (3) for the five different quenchers at various concentrations. The line shown was drawn by the method of least squares and gives an intercept of 0.993 ± 0.012 and a slope $\phi_{\rm T} = 0.3483 \pm 0.0091$. This illustrates that Equation (3) is well obeyed for all five quenchers and that the standard deviation of the $\phi_{\rm T}$ -values is less than 3%. Lamola and Hammond have recently developed a method for measuring $\phi_{\rm T}$, using the sensitized *cis-trans*-isomerisation of cis-piperylene which gives values of $\phi_{\rm T}$ to $\pm 5\%$.² This method would be unapplicable to 9-phenylanthracene and to other molecules with low-lying triplet levels. Preliminary measurements using inorganic iodides as fluorescence quenchers suggest that our method may not prove applicable using inorganic quenchers containing heavy atoms.

(Received, August 20th, 1965; Com. 526.)

- ¹ T. Medinger and F. Wilkinson, Trans. Faraday Soc., 1965, 61, 620.
- ² A. A. Lamola and G. S. Hammond-to be published (see ref. 3).
- ³ A. A. Lamola, P. A. Leersmakers, G. W. Byers, and G. S. Hammond, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1965, 87, 2322.